

# <u>MEMORANDUM</u>

RECEIVED

TO:

**Docket Control Center** 

2007 DEC 20 A 10: 23

FROM:

Ernest G. Johnson

**Utilities Division** 

Director

AZ CORP COMMISSION DOCKET CONTROL

DATE:

December 20, 2007

RE:

REQUEST FOR EXTENSION OF COMPLIANCE DEADLINE FOR SANTA CRUZ WATER COMPANY AND PALO VERDE UTILITIES COMPANY (DOCKET NOS.

W-03576A-04-0767 AND SW-03575A-04-0767)

In Decision No. 67830, dated on May 9, 2005, the Arizona Corporation Commission ('A.C.C." or "Commission") approved the application of Santa Cruz Water Company ("Santa Cruz") and Palo Verde Utilities Company ("Palo Verde"), collectively referred to as ("the Company"), for extension of its existing certificate of convenience and necessity.

As stated in Decision No. 67830, dated May 9, 2005, Santa Cruz was ordered to obtain ownership of seven specific wells within 365 days of the decision: Arizona Corporation Commission

- 1) Neely West Well.
- 2) Neely North Well.
- Neely East Well. 3)
- Glennwilde #1 Well. 4)
- Glennwilde #2 Well. 5)
- Rancho Mirage #1 Well. 6)
- 7) Rancho Mirage #2 Well.

DOCKETED

DEC 20 2007

**DOCKETED BY** 

ND

The original due date for verification of ownership of the above wells was on or about May 9, 2006.

On May 5, 2006, the Company filed a motion which included, among other items, a request for extension of time to Comply with the ownership on the Glennwilde #2 and Rancho Mirage #2 wells until May 5, 2008. On May 18, 2006, Staff filed its response, recommending an extension until September 30, 2007, rather than the Company proposed due date of May 5, 2008. The September 30, 2007 recommendation was ultimately upheld in a Procedural Order of the Commission dated January 24, 2007.

On September 28, 2007, the Company filed a further motion for extension of time to comply with the well ownership requirement in Decision No. 67830. Per the application, Santa Cruz has obtained ownership of each of the above wells with the exception of the Glennwilde #2 and Ranch Mirage #2 for which the Company requests an additional five year extension until December 31, 2012.

19€

## Glennwilde #2 and Rancho Mirage # 2 Wells

On Page two of the application, the Company discusses the issue of the remaining wells:

"Santa Cruz uses a three phase evaluation program for wells. Phase 1 involves a review of well records, while Phase II involves actual physical inspection and testing of the well. At the time of the hearing, Glennwilde #2 had been through Phase I. However, sufficient information did not exist at the time of the hearing to determine whether Glennwilde #2 and Rancho Mirage # 2 would be useful..."

"Later, the Phase II review showed that Glennwilde #2 was in poor condition structurally and testing revealed that it exceeded the allowed level (MCL) for nitrates. Thus this well was identified as being uneconomical and too technically challenging to rehabilitate. Subsequently, this well was abandoned and "platted over", i.e. the well site has not been reserved on the final plat – rather the well site is included in one or more residential lots. Thus, Santa Cruz will have to purchase one or more residential lots. This complicates obtaining ownership of the well."

As for the Rancho Mirage #2 well, the Company also stated the following in its application:

"The other well, Rancho Mirage, lies within an exception parcel to the Rancho Mirage development. As a result, it has yet to be released to Santa Cruz for continued evaluation. Presently, the well is operated by the Maricopa-Stanfield Irrigation and Drainage District ("MSIDD") for agricultural use. However, it is anticipated that in the future, this well may be available as the area develops and agricultural land is taken out of service.

Finally, the Company points out that Santa Cruz "carefully evaluates all wells in the vicinity," has "sufficient capacity for current demand" and has "future wells" that are intended as substitute wells for Glennwilde #2 and Rancho Mirage #2. Based on all of the above, the Company requests an extension of time to December 31, 2012 to demonstrate ownership of these wells.

### **Staff Recommendation**

After reviewing the Company application, Staff does not agree that an extension of time is the appropriate remedy for this matter. Therefore, Staff recommends denial of the Company's request for extension of time.

EGJ:BKB:lhm

Originator: Brian K. Bozzo

Attachment

SERVICE LIST FOR:

SANTA CRUZ WATER COMPANY PALO VERDE UTILITIES COMPANY

DOCKET NOS.

W-03576A-04-0767 AND SW-03575A-04-0767

Mr. Raymond S. Heyman Mr. Michael W. Patten Roshka, Heyman & DeWulf One Arizona Center 400 East Van Buren Street, Suite 800 Phoenix, Arizona 85004

Mr. Christopher C. Kempley Chief Counsel, Legal Division Arizona Corporation Commission 1200 West Washington Street Phoenix, Arizona 85007

Mr. Ernest G. Johnson Director, Utilities Division Arizona Corporation Commission 1200 West Washington Street Phoenix, Arizona 85007

Ms. Lyn Farmer Chief Administrative Law Judge Hearing Division Arizona Corporation Commission 1200 West Washington Street Phoenix, Arizona 85007

# ORIGINAL

# BEFORE THE ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMUNICATION RECEIVED

19E

2

1

3

**COMMISSIONERS** 

William A. Mundell

Jeff Hatch-Miller

Kristin K. Mayes

Gary Pierce

Mike Gleason, Chairman

7

8

10

11 12

13 14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

22 23

24

25 26

27

· 2007 SEP 28 P 4: 15

AZ CORP COMMISSION DOCKET CONTROL

**Arizona Corporation Commission** DOCKETED

SEP 28 2007

**DOCKETED BY** 

ne

IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF PALO VERDE UTILITIES COMPANY FOR AN **EXTENSION OF ITS EXISTING CERTIFICATE** OF CONVENIENCE AND NECESSITY.

IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF SANTA CRUZ WATER COMPANY FOR AN EXTENSION OF ITS EXISTING CERTIFICATE OF CONVENIENCE AND NECESSITY.

Docket No. SW-03575A-04-0767

Docket No. W-03576A-04-0767

# MOTION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME

(Glennwilde Well # 2 and Rancho Mirage Well # 2)

Palo Verde Utilities Company ("Palo Verde") and Santa Cruz Water Company ("Santa Cruz")(collectively, the "Global Utilities") request that the Commission grant an extension of time to acquire ownership of Glennwilde Well # 2 and Rancho Mirage Well # 2 until December 31. 2012. Santa Cruz has more than sufficient capacity, and these wells are not needed at this time.

# Background.

The Global Utilities have been granted several CC&N extensions in recent years. One of those extensions was granted by Decision No. 67830 (May 5, 2005). In that decision, the Commission imposed numerous compliance conditions, including that Santa Cruz obtain ownership of 7 specific wells. Those wells were Neely West, Neely North, Neely East, Glennwilde # 1, Glennwilde # 2, Rancho Mirage # 1 and Rancho Mirage # 2. Santa Cruz

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Decision No. 67830 (May 5, 2005) at page 11, lines 6 through 13.

subsequently obtained ownership of all of these wells, except for Glennwilde # 2 and Rancho Mirage # 2. By Procedural Order, the deadline for obtaining ownership of Glennwilde # 2 and Rancho Mirage # 2 is September 30, 2007.<sup>2</sup>

#### The remaining wells. II.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

27

Santa Cruz uses a three phase evaluation program for wells. Phase I involves a review of well records, while Phase II involves actual physical inspection and testing of the well.<sup>3</sup> At the time of the hearing, Glennwilde # 2 had been through Phase I. However, sufficient information did not exist at the time of the hearing to determine whether Glennwilde # 2 and Rancho Mirage # 2 would be useful, as shown by the following testimony at the hearing by Santa Cruz's witness:

Q. And so at this point, at least with respect to the Glennwilde and Rancho Mirage wells, you don't have enough data to be able to conclude whether the wells would be worthwhile?

#### Correct....4 A.

Later, the Phase II review showed that Glenwilde #2 was in poor condition structurally and testing revealed that it exceeded the allowed level (MCL) for nitrates. Thus, this well was identified as being uneconomical and too technically challenging to rehabilitate. Subsequently, this well was abandoned and "platted over", i.e. the well site has not been reserved on the final plat - rather the well site is included in one or more residential lots. Thus, Santa Cruz will have to purchase one or more residential lots. This complicates obtaining ownership of the well.

The other well, Rancho Mirage # 2, lies within an exception parcel to the Rancho Mirage development. As a result, it has yet to be released to Santa Cruz for continued evaluation. Presently, the well is operated by the Maricopa-Stanfield Irrigation and Drainage District ("MSIDD") for agricultural use. However, it is anticipated that in the future, this well may be available as the area develops and agricultural land is taken out of service.

<sup>26</sup> <sup>2</sup> Procedural Order dated January 24, 2007 at 3.

April 13, 2005 Hearing Transcript at 45-46.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> April 13, 2005 Hearing Transcript at 47.

# ROSHKA DEWULF & PATTEN, PLC

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

#### III. Other wells owned by Santa Cruz.

Of course, Santa Cruz has not limited itself to acquiring the specific wells required by the Commission in Decision 67830. Instead, Santa Cruz carefully evaluates all wells in the vicinity, so as to acquire the most economical and efficient wells. Santa Cruz also plans for growth and ensures that its potable water capacity exceeds potable demand with ample room to spare. Santa Cruz currently has four wells in operation, with a fifth well completed and awaiting final approval (approval of construction) from ADEQ. Santa Cruz has also obtained additional wells. A summary of existing and planned future wells is attached as Exhibit A.

A summary of Santa Cruz's potable water demand is attached as Exhibit B. As can be seen, during the peak month, Santa Cruz had 14,225 customers, who used an average of 386 gallons per day. Thus, the peak month demand is 5,490,850 gallons. Santa Cruz's current well capacity is 7,400 gallons per minute (gpm), which can produce more than 10.6 million gallons per day. ADEQ calculates "firm capacity" by eliminating the largest water source, to ensure the system can withstand an unanticipated failure. Santa Cruz's largest well is 2,300 gpm, leaving 5,100 gpm of firm production, which can produce more than 7.3 million gallons per day. This is substantially more than the peak month demand of 5.4 million gallons. Moreover, Santa Cruz also has 4 million gallons of storage. Thus, total daily capacity (storage + firm production) is 11.3 million gallons, against a demand of only 5.4 million gallons.

Therefore, Santa Cruz has more than sufficient capacity for current demand. Indeed, with 11.3 million gallons of firm capacity, Santa Cruz's current facilities can serve twice the number of existing customers (an additional 14,000 new customers). Moreover, as shown on Exhibit A, Santa Cruz has additional wells that will come on line in the future. Among the future wells are two wells that are intended as substitutes for Glennwilde # 2 and Rancho Mirage # 2. The substitute wells are known as Homestead East and Homestead West. Deeds and well registration records showing that Santa Cruz owns these replacement wells are attached as Exhibit C. As noted in the Staff Report, the capacity of the Rancho Mirage and Glennwilde wells were not

known at the time of the hearing.<sup>5</sup> Thus, Staff did not rely on any particular flow rate for those wells in its capacity analysis. A comparison of the capacity of the replacement wells to Rancho Mirage # 2 and Glennwilde # 2 follows:

Well	GPM	Replacement Well	GPM
Rancho Mirage # 2	Unknown	Homestead West	850
Glennwilde # 2	1,200	Homestead East	1,200

Thus, Santa Cruz has already acquired replacement wells for the two wells at issue. In addition, Santa Cruz exceeds and will continue to exceed operational and regulatory capacity requirements.

#### IV. Conclusion.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

Santa Cruz maintains an effective well evaluation program to identify wells that are suitable for inclusion in the potable production inventory. This process weeds out wells that are unsuitable for rehabilitation, and also ensure that production exceeds demand. This is a key element in regional planning. As detailed, the Glennwilde #2 well has been abandoned through ADWR. Rancho Mirage #2 is presently still being employed for agricultural purposes. Santa Cruz has identified and acquired direct substitutes for those wells, and indeed has identified 15,500 GPM of additional production to come on line by 2012. In addition, Santa Cruz substantially exceeds capacity requirements, and it is developing even more wells in the future. Thus, the production capacity proposed to be supplied by Rancho Mirage # 2 and Glennwilde # 2 will not be needed in the foreseeable future. Therefore, there is no reason not to accommodate MSIDD's continued use of Rancho Mirage # 2 for MSIDD's public purposes.

While Decision 67830 requires Santa Cruz to own specific wells, further analysis indicates there are more economical approaches to providing production. As a result, Santa Cruz requests an extension of time to December 31, 2012 to demonstrate ownership of Glennwilde #2 and Rancho Mirage #2.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Staff Report dated March 25, 2005 at 5.

	1	RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED this 28 <sup>th</sup> day of September 2007.		
	2		Roshka DeWulf & Patten, PLC	
	3		ROSHRA DE WOLF & LATTEN, LLC	
	4		on Temple 02 also	
	5		Michael W. Patten	
	6	•	Timothy J. Sabo One Arizona Center	
8	7		400 East Van Buren Street, Sui	
	Q	2 ps	Phoenix, Arizona 85004	
	9	Original + 15 copies of the foregoing filed this 28 <sup>th</sup> day of September 2007, with:		
	10	Docket Control		
	11	ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION		
		1200 West Washington Phoenix, Arizona 85007		
	12			
	13	Copies of the foregoing hand-delivered/mailed this 28 <sup>th</sup> day of September 2007, to:		
	14	Dwight Nodes, Esq.		
	15	Assistant Chief Administrative Law Judge Hearing Division	기념하면 많은 아이들을 잃어나	
	16	Arizona Corporation Commission		
		1200 West Washington Phoenix, Arizona 85007		
	18	Christopher C. Kempley, Esq. Chief Counsel, Legal Division		
	19	Arizona Corporation Commission 1200 West Washington		
	20	Phoenix, Arizona 85007		
	21	Ernest G. Johnson, Esq.		
		Director, Utilities Division Arizona Corporation Commission		
	22	1200 West Washington		
	23	Phoenix, Arizona 85007		
	24	Brian Bozzo Utilities Division		
	25	Arizona Corporation Commission		
		1200 West Washington Phoenix, Arizona 85007		
	26	Xleske Amard		
	27	My May		

400 East Van Buren Street, Suite 800